

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,209	FISHER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dipakkumar Gandhi	2138	

All Participants:

(1) Dipakkumar Gandhi.

(2) Charles Peterson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 December 2006

Time: 2 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

Claims 1, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative Charles Peterson agreed with the examiner that in claim 1, line 10, "storage array" is changed to --storage device--. In claim 14, the last line is modified to "test storage media, wherein said selected test storage media are tested without being inserted into said data library."